

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview & Summary Information

Date Investment First Submitted: 2009-06-30
Date of Last Change to Activities:
Investment Auto Submission Date: 2012-02-27
Date of Last Investment Detail Update: 2012-02-27
Date of Last Exhibit 300A Update: 2012-02-27
Date of Last Revision: 2012-02-27

Agency: 010 - Department of the Interior **Bureau:** 00 - Agency-Wide Activity

Investment Part Code: 01

Investment Category: 00 - Agency Investments

1. Name of this Investment: DOI - Interior Department Electronic Acquisition System (IDEAS)

2. Unique Investment Identifier (Ull): 010-000000365

Section B: Investment Detail

- 1. Provide a brief summary of the investment, including a brief description of the related benefit to the mission delivery and management support areas, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment. Include an explanation of any dependencies between this investment and other investments.**

The Interior Department Electronic Acquisition System (IDEAS) is an enhanced commercial off-the-shelf software solution. IDEAS automates requisitioning, review, approval, electronic commerce, solicitation, contract preparation and contract administration; and interfaces with the Federal Financial System. IDEAS is an operational system that facilitates the purchase of products and services in support of the Interior mission. The beneficiaries of IDEAS include the program office requisitioner, the small purchase procurement staff, the contract office procurement staff, various approving officials, procurement office staff and system administrators. The benefits are efficiency and transparency. FFS and IDEAS are interfaced, which provides checks and balance between the purchase price and the invoice amount, as well as verification of products or service received per contract. IDEAS users will migrate to the next generation system, the Financial and Business Management System (FBMS).

- 2. How does this investment close in part or in whole any identified performance gap in support of the mission delivery and management support areas? Include an assessment of the program impact if this investment isn't fully funded.**

IDEAS is Interior's initial evolution toward a paperless procurement process, as required by OMB Bulletin M-01-11, February 14, 2001 and M-01-15, March 09, 2001. IDEAS is an

automated contracting tool that increased the quality and consistency of contractual process and documents across Interior's component bureaus and client agencies. IDEAS fulfills the requirements of the Presidential Management Agenda to "create easy-to-find single points of access to government services" and "single procurement portal [that] will simplify purchases". IDEAS facilitates the purchase of products and services required for mission fulfillment. If IDEAS is not fully funded, it will not be operable and half of DOI components will be unable to do contract work. If IDEAS is not funded, if any contracting work could be performed it would be a manual process. The process it would be paper-intensed, and it would delay and could possibly prevent the fulfillment of these bureaus' mission. IDEAS provides rapid and efficient collection of procurement award data. It also disseminates data to FPDS-NG for further release of Congress, other federal agencies and interested state, tribal and local governments, as well as private industry. If IDEAS is not funded, it would also prevent the transmission of data to systems like the Federal Procurement Data System- Next Generation (FPDS-NG). While IDEAS is an outdated system it is the only automated contracting tool available to DOI's component bureaus awaiting FBMS deployment. The next generation solution is the phased deployment of the FBMS, which necessitates the continual operation of IDEAS.

3. Provide a list of this investment's accomplishments in the prior year (PY), including projects or useful components/project segments completed, new functionality added, or operational efficiency achieved.

IDEAS' accomplishments include single points of access to government services, single procurement portal [that] will simply purchasing. In FY 2010, the following were IDEAS' accomplishments: - Transitioned one bureau to FBMS, the U.S. Geological Survey. - Decreased number of Help Desk calls. - Maintained interface operability. In CY 2011, the following were IDEAS' accomplishments: - Two DOI bureaus and offices were deployed to FBMS, the Office of the Secretary and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. - These organizations will retire IDEAS six months after deployment to FBMS.

4. Provide a list of planned accomplishments for current year (CY) and budget year (BY).

IDEAS' accomplishments continues and will continue to include single points of access to government services, single procurement portal [that] will simply purchasing. In CY 2012, the following IDEAS accomplishments are planned: - Deployment of three bureaus to FBMS, the Bureau of Indian Affairs, the Bureau of Reclamation and the National Park Service. - These organizations will retire IDEAS six months after deployment to FBMS. - Maintain interface operability. In BY 2012, the following IDEAS accomplishments are planned: - Deployment of last phase of FBMS. - Initial IDEAS Decommissioning Activities. - Maintain Operability.

5. Provide the date of the Charter establishing the required Integrated Program Team (IPT) for this investment. An IPT must always include, but is not limited to: a qualified fully-dedicated IT program manager, a contract specialist, an information technology specialist, a security specialist and a business process owner before OMB will approve this program investment budget. IT Program Manager, Business Process Owner and Contract Specialist must be Government Employees.

2011-08-31

Section C: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.C.1 Summary of Funding

	PY-1 & Prior	PY 2011	CY 2012	BY 2013
Planning Costs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Excluding Planning) Costs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Including Planning) Govt. FTEs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
Sub-Total DME (Including Govt. FTE):	0	0	0	0
O & M Costs:	\$37.3	\$0.7	\$0.5	\$0.5
O & M Govt. FTEs:	\$24.6	\$3.6	\$2.7	\$2.0
Sub-Total O & M Costs (Including Govt. FTE):	\$61.9	\$4.3	\$3.2	\$2.5
Total Cost (Including Govt. FTE):	\$61.9	\$4.3	\$3.2	\$2.5
Total Govt. FTE costs:	\$24.6	\$3.6	\$2.7	\$2.0
# of FTE rep by costs:	137	13	11	4
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (\$)		\$0.0	\$-0.1	
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (%)		-0.50%	-1.70%	

2. If the funding levels have changed from the FY 2012 President's Budget request for PY or CY, briefly explain those changes:

N/A

Section D: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

Table I.D.1 Contracts and Acquisition Strategy

Contract Type	EVM Required	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	IDV Agency ID	Solicitation ID	Ultimate Contract Value (\$M)	Type	PBSA ?	Effective Date	Actual or Expected End Date
---------------	--------------	-----------------------	--	--	---------------	-----------------	-------------------------------	------	--------	----------------	-----------------------------

NONE

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

Earned Value is not required, because IDEAS is a steady state project and contract is for operations and maintenance activities.

Exhibit 300B: Performance Measurement Report

Section A: General Information

Date of Last Change to Activities:

Section B: Project Execution Data

Table II.B.1 Projects					
Project ID	Project Name	Project Description	Project Start Date	Project Completion Date	Project Lifecycle Cost (\$M)
NONE					

Activity Summary								
Roll-up of Information Provided in Lowest Level Child Activities								
Project ID	Name	Total Cost of Project Activities (\$M)	End Point Schedule Variance (in days)	End Point Schedule Variance (%)	Cost Variance (\$M)	Cost Variance (%)	Total Planned Cost (\$M)	Count of Activities
NONE								

Key Deliverables								
Project Name	Activity Name	Description	Planned Completion Date	Projected Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Duration (in days)	Schedule Variance (in days)	Schedule Variance (%)
NONE								

Section C: Operational Data

Table II.C.1 Performance Metrics

Metric Description	Unit of Measure	FEA Performance Measurement Category Mapping	Measurement Condition	Baseline	Target for PY	Actual for PY	Target for CY	Reporting Frequency
Next Generation System	Bureaus Deployed to FBMS	Customer Results - Customer Benefit	Under target	2011.000000	50.000000	50.000000	60.000000	Semi-Annual
Operable Interface	Connection to FFS	Technology - Effectiveness	Over target	2011.000000	100.000000	100.000000	100.000000	Monthly
Interoperability	Management Report	Process and Activities - Cycle Time and Timeliness	Over target	2011.000000	100.000000	100.000000	100.000000	Quarterly
Effective Security Measure	Technology	Technology - Effectiveness	Over target	2010.000000	100.000000	98.000000	100.000000	Semi-Annual
Administrative	Reduce the number of Help Desk calls	Mission and Business Results - Management of Government Resources	Over target	2010.000000	35.000000	35.000000	35.000000	Semi-Annual